

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/702,331	11/06/2003	Gary M. Johnson		7920	
75	90 09/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Robert E. Klev 1103 24th Ave.	•		SAKRAN,	SAKRAN, VICTOR N	
Grand Forks, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3677		
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
			331	JOHNSON, GARY M.	95			
Office Action Summary		Examine	er — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Art Unit				
		VICTOR	N SAKRAN	3677				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period fo		O DEDLY IS SET	TO EVOIDE AMONTU	I(S) EDOM				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failur Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum starte to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e inication. days, a reply within the st utory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be to atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	⇒ation.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 06 November	2003.					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	<i>'</i> —							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority of	focuments have be	en received in Applicat	tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	f the priority docum	nents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage	;			
	application from the Internation		* **					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the cer	tified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachmen	• •							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 			4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 2, are objected to because of the following informalities: since the term "the cover" as recited in said claims has no proper antecedent basis for such term, it appears that such term it should read - - the lid - -.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

Claim 1, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parks U. S. Patent No. 6,675977 in view of Johnson U. S. Patent No. 4,860,408 and Lierman U. S. Patent No. 3,291,515.

Parks discloses the general combination claimed of a strap for securing a pan or other container comprising an elongated elastic strap (16) having fastening members at each end thereof defining outer opposing hooks, wherein each of said fastener members is provided with pin projecting means (13) disposed rearward of said hook; see Figures 1-5; the abstract; column 2, lines 63-67; column 3, lines 39-45, and claim 1, except that the reference to Parks does not discloses an elongated sleeve member fitted over the elastic strap having opening for receiving projection pins and not using the strap for securing a lid onto a container. Johnson teaches the use of an elongated tube member (14) having openings (47, 48) for receiving the loop (45) (projection) formed at the end of its hook (42) in a strap fastening device assembly; see Figures 2,3,6; column 11, lines 17-25. Lierman teaches the use of an elastic member for holding a lid onto a container comprising a hook mounted at each end thereof and the container having opposing projecting handles for receiving its hooks in order to secure the lid onto the container; see Figure 1 and claim 1. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the strap device assembly in Parks with an elongated sleeve member having opening at each end thereof for receiving its projections (13) in order to

Art Unit: 3677

secure the sleeve to the strap and furthermore to use the strap device in Parks for securing a lid onto a container in the manner taught, disclosed and suggested by Johnson and Lierman respectively, especially, since such modification involves only routine skill in the art.

Claims 2-4, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lierman U.S. Patent No. 3,291,515 in view of Rasdell et al U.S. Patent No. 5,313,669.

Lierman discloses Applicants claimed device of a lid flexible member for holding a lid onto a container, wherein said container having opposing projecting handles, said flexible member having fastening members mounted at each end of said flexible member, said fastening members each having outer opposing hooks adapted to be fastened to the handles of the container in order to secure the lid to said container; except that the reference to Lierman does not discloses an elastic strap and a flexible sleeve detachably mounted to over the strap. Rasdell et al teaches the use of an elastic strap (16) and a flexible sleeve adapted to be detachably mounted over the strap; see Figures 1,2, and column 5, lines 11-14. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the lid device in Lierman with an elastic strap by merely substituting the elastic strap of Rasdell et al for the flexible member in Lierman and furthermore providing its device (Lierman) with a flexible sleeve member to be detachably mounted over the strap in the manner taught, disclosed

and suggested by Rasdell et al; especially, since the use of such structure elements (elastic strap and flexible sleeve) are conventional and well known within the art.

Furthermore, Applicant is reminded that in considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teaching of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom; see In re Preda, 401 F2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342,344 (CCPA1968).

As to the particular type of material used is considered to be no more than an obvious matter of design choice within the skill in the art, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. See In Re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Moreover, the particular location and/or the arrangement selected of an elements is also considered to be no more than an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill within the art, especially, since it has been held that rearranging pa an invention is involves only routine skill in the art. See In Re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's attention is directed to the art cited herein, as showing structure related to Applicant's disclosed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,331

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

Page 6

from the examiner should be directed to VICTOR N SAKRAN whose telephone

number is 703-308-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM -

5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, J. J. swann can be reached on 703-308-4115. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 25, 2004

VÍCTOR N SAKRAN Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3677